

Alfonso lozzo

Welfare for the European Union in the Age of Globalisation





The **Policy Paper** series of the Centre for Studies on Federalism includes analyses and policy-oriented research in the field of national and supranational federalism. The papers aim to stimulate scholarly and political debate on topical issues by presenting original data, ideas and proposals.

Text of the *Luigi Einaudi Lecture 2016* given by the author on 29 September 2016 at the Luigi Einaudi University Campus of the University of Turin.

ALFONSO IOZZO is the President of the Centre for Studies on Federalism and the Vice President of the Robert Triffin International.

Welfare for the European Union in the Age of Globalisation

Alfonso lozzo

At the same time as Nazi-fascist armies ranged across Europe, some men - contemplating the future of Europe after the war - focused their thoughts and actions on two objectives:

- to build a system that would prevent countries from resorting to destructive conflicts and war;
- to set out the conditions to ensure that all citizens could benefit from more human living conditions and essential goods.

In London, William Beveridge founded the *Federal Union Research Institute* to promote European unity, dedicated his first book to peace, and prepared his "plan" to introduce the national health service.

At Ventotene, Ernesto Rossi, together with Altiero Spinelli and Eugenio Colorni, wrote *For a Free and United Europe. A Draft Manifesto* and then gave substance to his social ideas with the book *Abolishing Misery*, in which he mentioned having heard about the "Beveridge Plan".

In his autobiography, Altiero Spinelli wrote that much of the inspiration for the *Manifesto* came from reading texts by British federalists. Books by the *Federal Union* were sent by Luigi Einaudi to his pupil, Ernesto Rossi, who was confined to the island of Ventotene.

Today's refererring to the link between welfare and European unity, and doing so through the "Luigi Einaudi Lecture", aims at paying tribute to the "founding fathers".

Evolution of Welfare

In Europe, the transition from assistance (charity) — typical of the agricultural economy of the Middle Ages — to a welfare system took place when the industrial revolution gained momentum and growing sections of the population became urbanised.

"Compulsory primary school" was introduced at the end of the 19th century, in the 1930s there began a "mandatory pension system" — in Italy, the pension institute INPS was created — and immediately after World War II the "national health service" was launched.

Welfare thus characterised the European social model that emerged during the post-war reconstruction of Europe where countries also began the unification process. Europe was able to bring about profound changes in its production systems while simultaneously establishing all the instruments for integrating and assisting those sections of the population whose living environment changed dramatically: from the fields to the factories, from the countryside to the city.

The first joint European institution — the ECSC (European Coal and Steel Community) — did not only facilitate the restructuring of the sector but also assured help to the workers involved: an example of this was the programme to

provide residential homes to the industry's workers.

Developing Countries

The experience of European countries was emulated, albeit belatedly, by the United States with Roosevelt's *New Deal* programmes, Johnson's *Big Society* and, more recently, with Obama's *Healthcare Plan*.

In recent decades, some former Third World countries have seen rapid development and growing incomes and, as happened in Europe after the war, this initially resulted in a strong accumulation of private savings which helped ensure education, healthcare, pensions and housing. Only now are these countries beginning to think of the need to switch to state systems that protect all sections of the population.

How to Fund the Welfare State

In Europe, the need to finance the welfare state has led to a significant increase in total public spending, rising from around 20-30% of GDP to as much as 50% in some countries.

Until the 1980s, increasing expenditure was backed by a corresponding increase in tax revenues — especially those typical of the industrial sector such as taxes on corporate income and the direct taxation on employment income — which was facilitated, of course, by the higher incomes that people were earning.

However, in more recent times, countries have had growing difficulty in securing the necessary resources to fund the welfare state owing partly to the slowing rate of income growth but particularly to the emergence of markets that tend to escape the possibility of control by national states.

With the end of the bipolarity in the world order, the Reagan-Thatcher line of self-regulating of the international market became established, in which free movement of capital — not included in the Bretton Woods system — was a cornerstone.

In this new context, it became more difficult for countries to tax unearned income since capital was "mobile". In fact, tax competition emerged and many countries drastically reduced both the marginal income tax rate and the inheritance tax, while tax on employment income — a "fixed" income — remained unchanged. To cover the lost revenue, countries were forced into debt to maintain their levels of welfare: in some countries such as Italy, the debt grew from 30% in the 1960s to the current 130% of GDP. In addition, the globalisation process requires more and more public resources to protect employees in the difficult phases of economic cycles.

At the same time, income distribution became more unequal — as shown by the Atkinson and Piketty research — because capital could, at least partly, avoid taxation and accumulate new resources.

Demography

The profound demographic change in European countries, with the higher proportion of adults and the increasing average age, has undermined the pension schemes based on intergenerational solidarity: since the reduced number of young people were no longer able to finance the pensions with their contributions, it has been necessary to increase the proportion resulting from the contribution.

At the same time, the increase in the average age tends to put a strain on the health system since older people require more treatment with new drugs and technologies made possible by scientific progress.

The Revolution in Science and Technology

The increasing rate of innovation in technology, particularly that relating to the digital revolution, has led to structural changes in production/manufacturing systems involving large numbers of employees, from factories (with robots) to offices (with computers).

Production methods based on science and technology are progressively expanding and replacing the production typical of the industrial revolutions.

Working becomes flexible and, in many cases, new businesses are a combination of capital and work (knowledge), leaning more towards the latter. The risks in economic activity tend to increasingly fall on employees (ever more "self-employed") rather than on capital.

This evolution confirms the analysis of James Meade who, over thirty years ago, foresaw the emerging of a "discriminating labour-capital partnership" and dwelt on the need to minimise the risk borne by the worker.

Welfare in the Era of Scientific and Technological Revolution

In the post-industrial production period, the current "need" is to overcome the difficulty of the new generations in entering the labour market.

Young people are burdened by the precariousness of jobs offered, largely due to the effects of globalisation which requires a continuous adaptation of production activities, especially in the group ranging from purely manual labour to skilled jobs, which can be accessed after long apprenticeship.

Therefore, the risks that the new context poses on young workers must be minimised: insurance and health coverage separate from employment is required, supported instead by public contributions; salaries received from unstable, occasional and part-time jobs must be supplemented; the entrepreneurial risk for self-employed and start-up businesses must be reduced.

Today this "welfare" is basically provided by family solidarity and obviously discriminates those young people whose families are unable to fully support them. It is also necessary to reflect on the fact that, ultimately, the possibility of counting on such solidarity will diminish owing to the erosion of household savings.

Interpersonal solidarity must therefore evolve, as happened in the past with the assistance from public systems. In his work *Agathotopia*, i.e. the "good place where to live", James Meade examines the problem and proposes a "social dividend" to be allocated to citizens after having dealt with the "discriminating labour-capital partnership".

In the initial phase - as happened with all the welfare systems introduced in the past - it is necessary to find ways of easing this transition: it can be said that the immediate need is for a "social contribution" that helps "enter the labour market".

Debt Financing of Welfare

Even if countries, with a joint international action starting with the European Union, manage once again to find the financial resources from taxation on unearned income — in any case necessary for reasons of social equity — it will be increasingly difficult to cover the growing costs of a new welfare system to

facilitate job placement for young people while also boosting the health system for the elderly.

The route of increasing debt is precluded because it would only aggravate the already precarious situation of the young people who, instead of having a "dowry" for entering the job market would be burdened by higher charges on the debt that they must currently bear.

James Meade's National Asset

The only way out is to try and accumulate a national asset which allows, according to Meade's project, to pay a tax-free social dividend for "the promotion of equality, the alleviation of risk bearing, the improvement of incentives for low earners, and the simplification of the welfare state".

The difficulty with realising social reform projects lies in the transition period. In our case, this means switching from national debt to the creation of a national asset.

The first step to take is to draw up an inventory of the assets and liabilities at the various levels of government, from local municipalities to regions, from the state to the European Union and the world, specifically including the *res nullius* which can instead become "public assets".

The second step is to elevate *res nullius* assets that, assigned to "public funds" (as happened in the case of Norway), create the conditions for obtaining income to be used for the "social dividend".

From Res Nullius to Res Communes Omnium

Towards the end of the 1960s, oil was unexpectedly discovered to be within reach in the North Sea. Norway

CENTRE FOR STUDIES ON FEDERALISM

8

CENTRE FOR STUDIES ON FEDERALISM

suddenly found itself with considerable capital: instead of immediately distributing this wealth (by, for instance, reducing taxes), this was given to a "public fund" that would distribute only part of the income produced (up to a maximum of 4%) to the current generation, maintain the wealth intact and then distribute a "social dividend" to future generations.

The Norwegian North Sea thus became a *res* owned by all Norwegian citizens.

The most powerful means for creating a public asset is the exploitation of environmental goods — increasingly rare and therefore more valuable — which must turn from being res nullius to a common property to be.

Another significant way for creating public assets is connected to research funded by public authorities, the exploitation of which by private operators must include — if successful — sharing the benefits with citizens. More generally, all the projects supported by public resources must then contribute to the "social dividend", thereby at least partly reimbursing the contributions received.

Foundations

Furthermore, it should be considered that the need for public assets to support the needs of the most vulnerable citizens is already being partly covered by the system of foundations. These play a particularly significant role in the US thanks to tax legislation which encourages such donations, but is also important in many European countries in other forms, albeit with a different historical background.

A Multi-Level System of Government

Unlike the absolute sovereign nation-state phase where initiatives referred back to the state — as shown in the names of all the Italian national institutes for pensions and work-related insurance, INPS, INA, INAIL and so on — a comprehensive system is needed that resumes the important role played in the past by local communities and municipalities — particularly in welfare assistance — while also identifying areas and competences at the level of the European Union and international institutions.

At the global level, for instance, there is the "common ownership" of the oceans — which was established by the UN convention on the Law of the Sea and grants deep seabed mining rights to a worldwide authority — or of space where the overcrowding of satellites and orbital stations will soon result in the need for "licences".

As regards municipalities, a substantial amount of real estate has already been accumulated. However, for instance, the income from the development rights on land use, which often covered current expenses, should be channelled into special asset funds since these are finite resources, in support not only of the present generation but also the future ones.

The National Level

Regarding welfare, the national level is destined to retain a prominent role since it has to provide essential public goods such as education, healthcare and pensions.

This is also the level, particularly in some countries,

which owns much of the "public debt". Therefore, the short term priority is to reduce such debt in order to alleviate the burden on it thus freeing up resources for funding the public goods offered.

The European Level

The EU does not have a problem of accumulated debt and can therefore more rapidly implement Meade's proposals. This is also because, unlike the earlier European Economic Community the aim of which was competition, the EU's basis — by adopting the Lisbon Treaty which incorporates formulations contained in the draft European Constitution — is the social market economy.

European financing of research and infrastructures (particularly in the energy sector) will tend to grow and can assign the Union "ownership rights" to be allocated to a fund that can contribute to financing the job placement income of young people in the continent. There are also many initiatives funded — as part of the Juncker Plan — by the European Investment Bank that can at least partially contribute to forming the public assets.

It also means expanding the Erasmus programmes to include community service and traineeships for an Erasmus of employment — which is under discussion in the European Parliament — and helping mitigate the risk for young people's business ventures.

A Political-Social Project

If the need to establish a "national asset" to provide the "social dividend" becomes an objective for the new phase

in the economy and employment, this will open the way to economic, social and political research to identify the possible areas, introduce a "creative" competition among the various state levels and test innovative projects.

CENTRE FOR STUDIES ON FEDERALISM 12

Notes

James Meade developed his proposals in two books (published in Italy by Feltrinelli):

- Agathotopia: The Economics of Partnership, 1989;
- Liberty, Equality and Efficiency, 1995.

The topics covered in the lecture were discussed in two of my articles published in the review *The Federalist*:

- "The challenge for Europe: reducing the working week", 1994 n. 2 (the subject was resumed in my interview with La Stampa newspaper on 28 December 1994);
- "Il dividendo sociale di Meade: dal debito al patrimonio pubblico" ("Meade's social dividend: from public debt to asset"), 2010 n. 1 (the article was referred to by Barbara Spinelli in la Repubblica newspaper of 28 December 2011).

CENTRE FOR STUDIES ON FEDERALISM

The **Centre for Studies on Federalism (CSF)** was established in November 2000 under the auspices of the Compagnia di San Paolo and the Universities of Turin, Pavia and Milan. It is presently a foundation.

The activities of the CSF are focused on interdisciplinary research, documentation and information on internal and supranational federalism, the developments of European integration, the government of globalisation.

The CSF promotes an annual **Lecture**, named after Altiero Spinelli, on topical European issues. The CSF publishes **Research** and **Policy Paper**, a book series on "**Federalism**", **The Federalist Debate** (also online), the **Bibliographical Bulletin on Federalism**, the online-journal **Perspectives on Federalism**, the **International Democracy Watch**.

CENTRO STUDI SUL FEDERALISMO

Via Real Collegio, 30 10024 Moncalieri (TORINO) Telefono 011 670 5024 Fax 011 670 5081 info@csfederalismo.it www.csfederalismo.it