

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|-----------------------------------|----|
| <i>Acknowledgements</i> | IX |
| <i>Foreword</i> | XI |

INTRODUCTION

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Normative preferences and detached research in historical materialism and its revision | 2 |
| 2. The federalist use of historical materialism: insights and shortcomings | 5 |
| 3. The rejection of the theory of structure and superstructure | 8 |
| 4. The refusal of any deterministic historical vision. | 10 |
| 5. The research structure | 14 |

CHAPTER 1

THE THEORETICAL CONTEXT OF THE FEDERALIST ANALYSIS OF MATERIAL INTERDEPENDENCE

| | |
|---|----|
| 1.1. Studying long-term structural change. | 17 |
| 1.1.1. Sources of ideological mystification: social interest, political power, nationality | 18 |
| 1.1.2. The trajectory of a successful political theory: from utopia to ideology | 20 |
| 1.1.3. The structure of political theories in their utopian phase. | 24 |
| 1.1.4. The conditions for the success of a new utopia | 26 |
| 1.1.5. The process of ideological mystification: the abstacle for new utopias | 28 |
| 1.1.6. Utopias, long-term change and historical materialism | 31 |
| 1.2. The theoretical framework of the federalist use of historical materialism: production, coercion, cognition | 35 |
| 1.2.1. A materialist periodization of human history to identify structural challenges, not structural responses | 37 |
| 1.2.2. The role and limits of historical materialism within this framework | 39 |

CHAPTER 2

HISTORICAL MATERIALISM AND THE COMPLEX CONCEPT
OF “MODE OF PRODUCTION”

| | |
|--|----|
| 2.1. Marx and Engels’ formulation and its limits | 45 |
| 2.1.1. The theory of structure and super-structure. | 47 |
| 2.1.2. Definitional problems | 50 |
| 2.2. The revision of the concept of “mode of production” | 54 |
| 2.2.1. The fundamental insight and premise of historical materialism: hu- man interdependence | 54 |
| 2.2.2. The “mode of production”: the interaction of people with nature and among themselves | 57 |
| 2.2.3. Means of production and forms of integration: the main aspects of the mode of production. | 59 |
| 2.3. From the “relations of production” to the “forms of integration” | 63 |
| 2.3.1. The forms of integration and social organization. | 67 |
| 2.4. The means of production | 71 |
| 2.4.1. The evolutionary character of the means of production. | 72 |
| 2.4.2. The spontaneous character of the evolution of the means of pro- duction. | 74 |
| 2.4.3. The possibility of a reversal and the refusal of historical determinism | 77 |
| 2.4.4. The evolution of the means of production and the diffusion of technology and social organization | 79 |

CHAPTER 3

THE INTERACTION BETWEEN THE EVOLUTION OF THE MEANS
OF PRODUCTION AND THE RELATIONS OF PRODUCTION
AND FORMS OF INTEGRATION

| | |
|--|-----|
| 3.1. The evolution of the means of production and the internal structure of human societies. | 88 |
| 3.1.1. The change in the relations of production and forms of integration within a given technological stage. | 89 |
| 3.1.2. A change in the dimension of the social unit: from the feudalisa- tion process to the creation of the modern state. | 92 |
| 3.1.3. The monopoly mechanism and its limits | 94 |
| 3.1.4. The change in the relations of production and the formation of the modern state | 97 |
| 3.1.5. The “monarchical mechanism” | 99 |
| 3.1.6. The socialization of the monopoly | 100 |
| 3.1.7. The evolution of the means of production, the formation of the modern state and the establishment of the market as a dominant form of integration | 101 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 3.1.8. The evolution of the means of production, the increase in the scope of interdependence, and the emergence of the national market. | 104 |
| 3.1.9. The possibility of a reversal of the mode of production. | 108 |
| 3.2. The evolution of the means of production and the dimension of the economic and political unit. | 110 |
| 3.2.1. The dimension of social units as a relevant object of study. | 110 |
| 3.2.2. The second industrial revolution and the dwarfing of the European nation-states. | 113 |
| 3.2.3. The limits of the evolution of the means of production in determining the size of the economic and political unit. | 115 |
| 3.3. The evolution of the means of production and the evolution of the market | 119 |
| 3.3.1. From closed domestic and international markets to a global domestic market | 119 |
| 3.3.2. The efficiency of the global domestic market | 121 |
| 3.3.3. The institutional conditions of the expansion of the market | 124 |
| 3.3.4. The decline of American hegemony and the transition to a new world order | 126 |

CHAPTER 4

TOWARDS A THEORY OF MATERIAL INTERDEPENDENCE

| | |
|---|-----|
| 4.1. The limits of a theory of material interdependence | 131 |
| 4.1.1. Material, political and cultural interdependence. | 131 |
| 4.1.2. The limits of historical materialism | 133 |
| 4.1.3. The refusal of any deterministic view of history | 134 |
| 4.2. The basic insights of a theory of material interdependence | 135 |
| 4.2.1. Human interdependence as the basis for social sciences | 135 |
| 4.2.2. The forms of integration and the means of production and their differences | 137 |
| 4.2.3. The evolution of the means of production as a spontaneous process | 140 |
| 4.2.4. The possibility of changing the dominant form of integration. | 141 |
| 4.2.5. The changes in the dimension of the main economic and political unit | 143 |
| 4.3. The analysis of the modern era | 145 |
| 4.3.1. The formation of the modern state. | 145 |
| 4.3.2. The market in the modern era and its tendency towards expansion | 148 |
| 4.4. Conclusion | 149 |
| <i>References</i> | 153 |
| <i>Name Index</i> | 161 |